

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application No.: 10/743,660 Filing Date: December 22, 2003
Examiner: Huyen X. Vo Group Art Unit: 2626
Invention: "Viewing System That Supports Multiple Electronic Document Types"

Transmitted herewith is a Response in the above-identified application.

- ☒ Small Entity Status is/has been asserted for this application under 37 CFR 1.27.
A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.27 is enclosed.
☒ No additional fee is required.
The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	No. of Claims After Amendment	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Small Entity Rate	Non-Small Entity Rate	Charge
Total	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	x \$ 25.00	x \$ 50.00	\$ <u>0</u>
Indep.	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	x \$100.00	x \$200.00	\$ <u>0</u>
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim/s				+ \$180.00	+ \$360.00	\$ <u>0</u>
				TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE		\$ <u>0</u>

- ☐ A check in the amount of \$ _____ is enclosed to cover the additional fee.
☐ A check in the amount of \$ _____ is enclosed for a _____-month Petition for Extension of time.
☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 23-0650. Please refund any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-0650. An original and two copies of this sheet are enclosed.
☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR 1.16.
☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

June 28, 2007
Date

By _____

John W. McIlvaine
Registration No. 34,219
Attorney for Applicants
700 Koppers Building
436 Seventh Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Telephone: (412) 471-8815
Facsimile: (412) 471-4094
E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on June 28, 2007.

06/28/2007
Date

Bruce L. White
Signature

Bruce L. White

Typed Name of Person Signing Certificate

Application No. 10/743,660
Response to Office Communication dated June 5, 2007
Paper dated June 28, 2007
Attorney Docket No. 4132-031362



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 10/743,660
Applicants : James Beck et al.
Filed : December 22, 2003
Title : Viewing System That Supports Multiple Electronic Document Types
Group Art Unit : 2626
Examiner : Huyen X. Vo
Confirmation No. : 7585
Customer No. : 28289

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated June 5, 2007, in which the Examiner has required a restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to one of the following inventions:

Invention I - claims 1-20 and 24-26, drawn to a method for displaying a plurality of electronic document types from a single display system that is linking with a discrete pluggable interpreter, classified in class 704, subclass 276; and

Invention II - claims 21-23, drawn to a client/server communication system, classified in class 704, subclass 270.1.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on June 28, 2007.

Bruce L. White

(Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee)

Bruce L. White

Signature

06/28/2007

Date

ELECTION

The Examiner contends that the inventions are subcombinations separately viable. Subcombination I involves display of information and subcombination II involves retrieval of information. The Examiner would be required to search the same classes to the same extent, irrespective of which inventive Invention is elected. Accordingly, Applicants believe that no serious burden exists on the Examiner in examining all of the claims in a single application. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider claims 1-26 as a single inventive concept and withdraw the Restriction Requirement.

However, in the event the Examiner maintains the Restriction Requirement, Applicants hereby elect with traverse to prosecute the invention of Invention I, drawn to a method for displaying a plurality of electronic document types from a single display system that is linking with a discrete pluggable interpreter for further prosecution on the merits. Elected claims readable thereon include claims 1-20 and 24-26.